

Announcements

1) Candidate talk today

3-4 CB 2070

Squeeze Theorem: Suppose

$$a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n \quad \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = L.$$

$$\text{Then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = L$$

proof:

Since $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow L$, \exists

$N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$|c_n - L| < \epsilon$$

$$\forall n \geq N_1.$$

Since $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow L$,

$\exists N_2$ with

$$|a_n - L| < \epsilon$$

$$\forall n \geq N_2.$$

Consider $|b_n - L| < \epsilon$

equivalent to

$$-\epsilon < b_n - L < \epsilon.$$

since $b_n \leq c_n$

$$b_n - L \leq c_n - L$$

$$\leq |c_n - L|$$

and since $a_n \leq b_n$

$$L - b_n$$

$$\leq L - a_n$$

$$\leq |L - a_n|.$$

Now let $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$

Then $|L - a_n|$ and $|c_n - L|$

are both less than $\varepsilon \forall n \geq N$.

Then we have, $\forall n \geq N$,

$$b_n - L < \varepsilon \quad \text{and}$$

$$L - b_n < \varepsilon.$$

This implies $|b_n - L| < \varepsilon$.



You can now use the squeeze theorem whenever applicable.

Example 1: (calc squeeze)

$$\text{Show } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin(n)}{n} = 0.$$

$$\text{Consider } b_n = \frac{\sin(n)}{n}$$

$$a_n = \frac{-1}{n}$$

$$c_n = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since $-1 \leq \sin(n) \leq 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,
dividing by n yields.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -\frac{1}{n} & \leq & \frac{\sin(n)}{n} & \leq & \frac{1}{n} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ a_n & & b_n & & c_n \end{array}$$

We know $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$,

So by the Squeeze Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0.$$

Subsequences

$$\frac{(-1)^n + 1}{2} = a_n \quad \text{does not}$$

converge, but...

the even terms are all equal to one, and the odd terms are all equal to zero.

So roughly, "half" of the sequence converges to zero, and the other half converges to one.

Definition: (subsequence)

Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence.

Let $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < n_4 < \dots$

be an **increasing** sequence
of natural numbers $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

A **subsequence** of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

is a new sequence

$(a_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ comprised

of terms of the original sequence
whose index is n_k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 2: Let $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n + 1}{2}$.

Consider the subsequence generated

by $n_1 = 2, n_2 = 4, n_3 = 6,$

$n_k = 2k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $(a_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (a_{2k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$

and $a_{2k} = \frac{(-1)^{2k} + 1}{2} = 1 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$

With the same original
sequence, let

$$n_1 = 1, n_2 = 3, n_3 = 5$$

$$n_k = 2k - 1 \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\text{Then } a_{n_k} = a_{2k-1} = \frac{(-1)^{2k-1} + 1}{2}$$

$$= 0$$

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Same sequence, now

let n_k = the k^{th} prime number.

$$n_1 = 2, n_2 = 3, n_3 = 5$$

$$a_{n_k} = \begin{cases} 1 & k=2 \\ 0 & k>2 \end{cases}$$

because 2 is the only even prime!

In general when you
see a problem on
subsequences, you can
usually get away with
evens and odds in this
class

Proposition: (Subsequences of convergent sequence)

Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to L . Then any subsequence also converges to L .

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$

so that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon \forall n \geq N$.

Let $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$

determine a subsequence

$(a_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Then since the indices of
the subsequence are increasing,

$$\exists K \in \mathbb{N}, n_{n_K} > N.$$

Then for all $k \geq K$, $n_k \geq n_{n_K}$,

so $n_k \geq N$, hence

$$|a_{n_k} - L| < \varepsilon \quad \square$$

Theorem: (Bolzano-Weierstrass)

Any bounded sequence admits
a convergent subsequence.

proof: next class!

Proving Some Properties of Sequences

Assume $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = M$.

Show

$$(1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + b_n) = L + M$$

proof Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_n + b_n - (L + M)| \\ &= |(a_n - L) + (b_n - M)| \\ &\leq |a_n - L| + |b_n - M| \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$,

$\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\boxed{|a_n - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n \geq N_1}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = M$, $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$

with $\boxed{|b_n - M| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall n \geq N_2}$

Let $N = \max \{N_1, N_2\}$. Then

from the previous page,

$$|(a_n + b_n) - (L + M)|$$

$$\leq |a_n - L| + |b_n - M|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N \quad \square$$